

VARIATION OF ACOUSTIC POWER WITH MAGNETIC FIELD AS SEEN IN GONG+ DATA

P. VENKATAKRISHNAN, BRAJESH KUMAR and S. C. TRIPATHY

*Udaipur Solar Observatory, Physical Research Laboratory, P.O. Box 198, Dewali,
Off Bari Road, Udaipur 313 004, India
(E-mails: pvk@prl.ernet.in, brajesh@prl.ernet.in, sushant@prl.ernet.in)*

Abstract. The acoustic spectra in sunspots are known to be richer in higher frequency power. We have attempted a generalized study of the effect of magnetic fields on the shape of the acoustic spectrum using GONG+ bread-board data (spatial scale of ~ 2 arc-sec per pixel) of 11 May, 2000 and 12 June, 2000. The mean power spectra of the velocity oscillations were obtained by averaging over several spectra for different values of the magnetic field. With increasing magnetic field, the acoustic power increases at higher frequencies and decreases at lower frequencies with a transition at $\simeq 5$ mHz. This behaviour is slightly different from earlier results obtained from SOHO/MDI data.

1. Introduction

The typical distribution of acoustic power of photospheric oscillations shows a maximum at around 5 min (Leighton, Noyes, and Simon, 1962) with a decrease to negligible power at higher frequencies. This behaviour of the acoustic spectrum has been understood in terms of trapped oscillations in a cavity. The eigen-functions of the natural modes of oscillations peak at different depths in the solar interior. The band of oscillations in the region of 5 minutes peak in the convection zone, which seems to be the dominant source of excitation of the solar oscillations (Goldreich, Murray, and Kumar, 1994). There have been some investigations on the behaviour of the acoustic spectrum in sunspots (Kumar *et al.*, 2000, and references therein). It has been generally accepted that the oscillatory power decreases in sunspots (Thomas, 1984; Braun, and Duvall, 1990; Lites *et al.*, 1998) and has been attributed to a variety of mechanisms, e.g. reduction in the efficiency of p -mode excitation by turbulent convection (Goldreich, and Keeley, 1977; Goldreich, and Kumar, 1988, 1990), absorption of acoustic power (Braun, Duvall, and LaBonte, 1988; Braun, 1995; Cally, 1995; Rosenthal and Julien, 2000), and modification of p -mode eigenfunctions by the magnetic field (Brown, 1994; Hindman, Jain, and Zweibel, 1997). The earlier study of Kumar *et al.*, (2000) showed a displacement in the peak of the acoustic spectrum in sunspots to lower frequencies.



Venkatakrishnan, Kumar, and Tripathy (2001) showed that the peak of the spectrum for small portions of the solar surface varies randomly with a spread of $200 \mu\text{Hz}$ resulting from the stochastic nature of the excitation. When several spectra were averaged, there was no discernible change in the peak within magnetic regions, and only a marginal N-S difference was detected between the hemispheres.

In this paper, we investigate the behaviour of the acoustic spectrum as a function of the underlying magnetic field using GONG+ data, which has a spatial scale of ~ 2 arc-sec per pixel. We find that the high frequency power increases with magnetic field while the low frequency power decreases, with a transition at $\simeq 5$ mHz. This corresponds to the predicted acoustic cut-off frequency of about 5.3 mHz at the temperature minimum for the quiet Sun in theoretical approaches to model the solar atmosphere (Gurman, and Leibacher, 1984; Balmforth and Gough, 1990). Observationally, some estimates for the quiet Sun have already been obtained (Fossat *et al.*, 1992, and references therein), yielding results which lie between 5.3 and 5.7 mHz. Hindman and Brown (1998) have found a similar increase in high frequency powers using SOHO/MDI data. In section 2, we describe our analysis and present our results, while these results are compared with the SOHO/MDI results in section 3.

2. Analysis and Results

The data used here are from a time series of Dopplergrams for 11 May, 2000 and 12 June, 2000 for 516 minutes duration starting at 15:00 UT obtained by the GONG+ bread-board instrument at Tucson with a cadence of 1 minute. The Dopplergrams were corrected for mean solar rotation and registered with the first Dopplergram of each day. It is well known that the Dopplergrams, in addition to the p -modes, also exhibit a variety of features, such as supergranulation pattern, meridional flows and solar rotation gradients. A two-point backward difference filter was applied to the Dopplergrams to reduce low frequency drifts. The temporal power spectrum was obtained for each pixel within the latitude and longitude range of $50^\circ\text{E} - 50^\circ\text{W}$ and $50^\circ\text{N} - 50^\circ\text{S}$ and then binned according to magnetic field strengths: 0-10 Gauss, 10-50 Gauss, 50-200 Gauss, 200-500 Gauss, 500 Gauss & above. A mean power spectrum was constructed for each magnetic field range. Further, the mean spectrum was smoothed by applying a digital filter, namely a Savitzky-Golay (S-G) filter (Press *et al.*, 1992). This filter basically smooths the data by a window function of a predefined number of data points and a polynomial order with a proper weighting and then finds the maximum




Figure 1. Histograms representing the peak frequency of average power spectra over pixels having different values of magnetic inductions for 11 May, 2000 (*dashed line*) and 12 June, 2000 (*solid line*). The error-bar at the right top corner indicates 1σ value.

of the smoothed spectrum. We observe the best fit with a window of 32 data points and a polynomial of order 6. The peak frequency shows a slight, but consistent decrease with increasing magnetic field for both the days (Figure 1). We find a maximum decrease of $200 \pm 60 \mu\text{Hz}$ in peak frequency.

Figure 2 shows average power spectra over pixels having magnetic induction values in the ranges: 0-10 Gauss, 50-200 Gauss, 200-500 Gauss and 500 Gauss & above. These spectra are obtained after averaging on both the days, 11 May, 2000 and 12 June, 2000. It is observed that the spectra intersect at a common point at $\simeq 5 \text{ mHz}$. In order to compare our results with those of Hindman and Brown (1998), we have also plotted the ratio of powers in magnetized regions to that in quiet regions in Figure 3.

3. Discussions

It has been already noted that high frequency oscillations like 3 minute oscillations are enhanced in sunspots (Beckers and Schultz, 1972; Kneer




Figure 2. Plots showing the average power spectra over pixels having different values of mean magnetic inductions, which are obtained after averaging on both the days. The average magnetic induction over each set of pixels is indicated in the figure.

and Uexkuell, 1983; Thomas *et al.*, 1987; Horn, Staude, and Landgraf, 1997). Our results show that there is a general increase in the high frequency power. What is more significant is the fact that this enhancement is a function of the magnetic field. The most remarkable fact is the transition of behaviour from low frequency to high frequency around 5 mHz corresponding to the acoustic cut-off frequency of the quiet photosphere at temperature minimum. Our results are similar to those of Hindman and Brown (1998) at different frequencies and magnetic fields. The major difference seems to be a deficiency in power at 6 mHz as compared to Hindman and Brown (1998). For other frequency bands, even the numerical values of the power ratios are equal to the SOHO/MDI results. This augurs well for the fully deployed GONG+ system and establishes the potential of the new GONG instrument for the work in local helioseismology. A large number of active regions have to be examined before one can conclude on the deficiency in power at 6 mHz relative to the Hindman and Brown (1998) results.

In summary, it can be noted that both GONG+ and SOHO/MDI data show that the ratio of acoustic power in magnetic regions to that in the quiet Sun is a function of the magnetic field over a large range of frequencies.




Figure 3. Plots showing the ratio of powers in magnetized regions to that in quiet regions, which are obtained after averaging on both the days. The average magnetic induction over each set of pixels is indicated in the figure

Acknowledgements

This work utilizes data obtained by the Global Oscillation Network Group (GONG) project, managed by the National Solar Observatory, which is operated by AURA, Inc. under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. We deeply appreciate the advice provided by C. Lindsey. We are also thankful to anonymous referee for the suggestions which helped in improving the manuscript.

References

- Beckers, J. M., and Schultz, R. B.: 1972, *Solar Phys.* **27**, 61.
- Braun, D. C., Duvall, Jr., T. L., and LaBonte, B. J.: 1988, *Astrophys. J.* **335**, 1015.
- Braun, D. C., and Duvall, Jr., T. L.: 1990, *Solar. Phys.* **129**, 83.
- Braun, D. C.: 1995, *Astrophys. J.* **451**, 859.
- Brown, T. M.: 1994, *Poster Presentation at GONG 1994: Helio- and Astero-Seismology from the Earth and Space, Los Angeles CA.*
- Cally, P. S.: 1995, *Astrophys. J.* **451**, 372.
- Fossat, E., et al.: 1994, *Astron. Astrophys.* **266**, 532.
- Goldreich, P., and Kumar, P.: 1988, *Astrophys. J.* **326**, 462.
- Goldreich, P., and Kumar, P.: 1990, *Astrophys. J.* **363**, 694.

- Goldreich, P., Murray, N., and Kumar, P.: 1994, *Astrophys. J.* **424**, 466.
- Gurman, J. B. and Leibacher, J. W.: 1984, *Astrophys. J.* **283**, 859.
- Hindman, B. W., Jain, R., and Zweibel, E. G.: 1997, *Astrophys. J.* **476**, 392.
- Hindman, B. W. and Brown, T. M.: 1998, *Astrophys. J.* **504**, 1029.
- Horn, T., Staude, J., and Landgraf, V.: 1997, *Solar Phys.* **172**, 69.
- Kneer, F., and von Uexkuell, M.: 1983, *Astron. Astrophys.* **119**, 124.
- Kumar, B., Jain, R., Tripathy, S. C., Vats, H. O., and Deshpande, M. R.: 2000, *Solar Phys.* **191**, 293.
- Leighton, R. B., Noyes, R. W., and Simon, G. W.: 1962, *Astrophys. J.* **135**, 474.
- Lites, B. W., Thomas, J. H., Bogdan, T. J., and Cally, P. S.: 1998, *Astrophys. J.* **497**, 464.
- Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., and Flannery, B. P.: 1992, *Numerical Recipes in FORTRAN*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p. 644.
- Rosenthal, C. S., and Julien, K. A.: 2000, *Astrophys. J.* **532**, 1230.
- Thomas, J. H.: 1984, *Astron. Astrophys.* **135**, 188.
- Thomas, J. H., Lites, B. W., Gurman, J. B., and Ladd, E. F.: 1987, *Astrophys. J.* **312**, 457.
- Venkatakrishnan, P., Kumar, B., and Tripathy, S. C.: 2001, *Solar Phys.* **202**, 229.